Efficacy of Rituximab in the Treatment of Plasma Exchange Refractory Thrombotic Thrombocytopenic Purpura
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ABSTRACT

Background: Rituximab can induce a durable remission in plasma exchange refractory thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP). Timing of Rituximab infusion in combination with plasma exchange (PE) and long term follow-up for probable side effects of such treatment is still lacking.

Methods: This study was conducted among 10 patients with plasma exchange refractory TTP. According to the study designation, first PE was performed within 36-48 hours after first dose of rituximab.

Results: Eight of ten (80%) patients received 1 course of rituximab. Two of ten (20%) patients received another course of rituximab due to relapse. Response rate (RR) to rituximab in combination with plasma exchange, was 90%. Overall Survival of the patients was 90% and 1 and 5-year relapse free survival rate (RFS) was 90% and 83%, respectively. One of the patients expired due to Systemic Lupus Erythematosus flare up.

Conclusion: According to this study, treatment of refractory TTP with rituximab in combination with PE could be effective.

Iranian Registry of Clinical Trials: IRCT2017012232125N1

Introduction

Thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP) is defined as a pentad including microangiopathic hemolytic anemia, thrombocytopenia, renal failure, neurological deterioration and fever which each of them may present simultaneously or succeeding while the disease progresses.¹ Today, corticosteroids and plasma exchange are first line treatments in most of the patients with TTP.² ³ Plasma exchange has reduced mortality rate of the patients with TTP form almost 90% to 10-20% in first episode of TTP.⁴ According to a report by American Society of Hematology (ASH), 6-month survival of patients treated with plasma exchange and plasma infusion has been demonstrated to be 73% and 63%, respectively.⁵ It is remarkable that in 30-60% of patients, TTP does not remit adequately with conventional therapies or relapses within a few months with severe clinical manifestations.⁶ ⁷ Splenectomy may end the destructive process of opsonized platelets with VWF-ultra large multimers in the spleen. It has been shown that splenectomy can be effective in a specific group of the patients.⁸ Results of the splenectomy in treatment of TTP ranges from long term complete remission to immediate post-operative death;⁹ ¹⁰ however, most of the patients were remained among refractory or relapsing groups.⁸ ¹¹ Robust criteria to select proper patients for splenectomy are still lacking. In a review of the literature, the majority of the candidates for splenectomy were selected from refractory or relapsing cases with stable general condition.⁸ ¹² Selection of such cases mostly is based on clinician point of view and medical condition of the patients.⁹ ¹² On the other hand, overwhelming post splenectomy infection (OPSI) cannot
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be ignored.\textsuperscript{13} Clinical scenario of OPSI varies from a mild Flu-like syndrome to rapidly progressing fatal sepsis.\textsuperscript{14, 15} Most pathogens associated with asplenic patients are encapsulated organisms, but any pathogen organism can cause the infection.

Rituximab, an anti-CD20 chimeric monoclonal antibody has been proposed for treatment of refractory TTP patients.\textsuperscript{16, 17} The suggested mechanism of action is normalizing the level of ADAMTS13 by inhibition of autoantibodies against ADAMTS13.\textsuperscript{18} Rituximab, similar to other immunoglobulins is distributed throughout the interstitium, lymphatic tissues, spleen and bone marrow which are not affected by plasma exchange. On the other hand, Rituximab has several mechanisms of action that is not restricted to its presence in the plasma.\textsuperscript{19, 20} In some studies, efficacy of rituximab has been evaluated as an end-point in treatment of refractory TTP.\textsuperscript{5, 6, 18, 21} Numerous studies have discussed that plasma exchange can remove Rituximab off the peripheral blood circulation, therefore could reduce efficacy of rituximab, especially with intervals less than 3 days.\textsuperscript{2, 22, 23}

Kelesidis and colleagues declared that rituximab can increase the incidence and severity of infections. Clinical picture of infections in rituximab-treated patients has a wide spectrum from simple skin infections to severe sepsis.\textsuperscript{24} Theoretically, when rituximab and splenectomy are both administered, the possible infections carry a higher mortality rate.

There are several issues regarding administration of rituximab which need to be addressed such as necessity of continuation of plasma exchange along with rituximab, timing between rituximab infusion and plasma exchange as well as dose adjustment of rituximab in cases undergoing plasma exchange.\textsuperscript{4}

In this paper, we describe 10 cases of refractory TTP who successfully responded to treatment with rituximab and simultaneous plasma exchange.

Materials and Methods

This study was conducted in Alzahra Hospital, Isfahan, Iran during 2009-2015. It was registered in Iran clinical trial data center with ID number “IRCT2017012232125N1” and approved by “Isfahan University of medical sciences” Ethics Committee with ID number of “391368.”

All TTP patients who were refractory to first line treatment were enrolled into the study. Informed consents were obtained and patients were free to leave the study on their own will.

Patients with the following conditions were excluded from the study: viral infections (e.g. HIV), disseminated intravascular coagulopathy, current pregnancy, autoimmune connective tissue disorders, malignancies, immunosuppression by any other cause, specific bacterial infections (e.g. Pneumococci) as well as patients who used certain drugs or chemicals (calcineurin inhibitors, mitomycin, cocaine and quinine).

This study was designed as to prescribe combination of rituximab (MabThera®) with simultaneous plasma exchange to achieve targeted responses, which was defined as Platelet count above 150000/µL for 2 consecutive days accompanied by normal or normalizing LDH and stable or improving neurological deficits. Table 1 Shows the definition of related scientific terms used in our study.\textsuperscript{25}

As first line treatment of TTP, patients were treated with corticosteroids and plasma exchange. Based on clinical presentation and laboratory tests, plasma exchange was recommended daily or every other day. Failure of plasma exchange was defined as no considerable change in clinical or laboratory status of the patients. Refractory TTP was defined as no treatment response by day 30 and/or no durable treatment response by day 60. Those who had not responded to first line treatment, were candidates to receive second line treatment. Rituximab was administered as weekly infusion of 375 mg/m\textsuperscript{2} for a 4-week period. The patients received plasma exchange just before infusion of rituximab. The next session of plasma exchange was performed 36-48 hours after rituximab infusion. The ultimate number of plasma exchange sessions were based on clinical outcome and laboratory test results.

Clinical and laboratory results were closely observed daily for the first 4 weeks and then weekly for the next 12 weeks and thereafter, every 2-3 months for the next 3-4 year. At the end of the follow-up period; complete response, partial response, disease free survival, mortality, side effects and episodes of relapse were analyzed.

Results

10 patients with TTP who were refractory to corticosteroids and plasma exchange enrolled the study (Figure 1). Patients’ data are provided in Tables 2 and 3. Five patients were male with mean age of 32.1 years. Mean number of plasma exchange sessions after starting rituximab was 4. Eight patients received one course of rituximab to achieve complete remission. Average period of remission was 38 months. In two patients, (patient 3 and 5), TTP relapsed after 8 and 36 months, respectively. Both of the relapsed cases received rituximab and plasma exchange sessions again. Patient 3 achieved complete

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Definitions of terms related to current article</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Term</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durable response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exacerbation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relapse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractory disease</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
remission in two weeks. Patient 5 received vincristine and cyclophosphamide and underwent splenectomy to attain complete remission. In relapsed cases, duration of second complete remission was 40 and 32 months, respectively. In the follow-up period, no serious side effect associated with rituximab infusion was observed. Patient 5 was further diagnosed with Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE), who died during flare up of the disease and overwhelming sepsis. 1 and 5-year relapse free survival rate was 90% and 50%, respectively.

### Discussion

The necessity of verification of and timing of rituximab along with plasma exchange as second line treatment of TTP was examined in our study. In this study, response rate of rituximab alone to induce clinical remission in acute refractory and/or relapsing TTP was 90% which was similar to other studies. Goyal et al. reported that in TTP patients treated with rituximab; 1, 3 and 5-year relapse free-survival rates were 92%, 75%, and 75%, respectively.

### Table 3: Admission, treatments and follow up data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient number</th>
<th>Clinical presentation</th>
<th>Primary Plasma exchanges</th>
<th>Interval Plasma exchanges</th>
<th>Rituximab Course(s)</th>
<th>Adjunctive therapies</th>
<th>Admission days</th>
<th>Complete Remission duration</th>
<th>Relapse(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>LOC</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Epistaxis Ecchymosis</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Petechiae Fatigue</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Hemisensory deficit</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>HELLP Petechiae, pupura Epistaxis</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Splenectomy Vincristine cyclophosphamide</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>GIB &amp; GTC</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>LOC &amp; GTC</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Postpartum hemorrhage</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Petechiae &amp; purpura</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Petechiae</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean value</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LOC: Loss of consciousness; GIB: Gastrointestinal bleeding; GTC: Generalized tonic clonic seizure; HELLP: HELLP syndrome

### Figure 1: The overview of study outcomes in 10 patients with TTP.
There are various side effects and pharmacological considerations in administration of rituximab including: infusion reactions, kidney injuries, infectious side effects, neurological deteriorations, cardiac events, long term neoplastic occurrence, gastrointestinal adverse effects and dermatologic reactions.25 Most of the side effects are reported in lymphoma and rheumatoid arthritis cases and less frequently in TTP patients.24, 27 Due to possible serious and life-threatening side effects of rituximab, the necessity of close and long term surveillance of rituximab-treated patients is of paramount importance. Thus far, infectious complications of rituximab in TTP patients is not detected. In the current clinical trial, no serious side effect of rituximab infusion was observed.

Application of cytotoxic therapeutics, including cyclophosphamide and vincristine has been limited after introduction of rituximab. It would be rational to consider this treatment in subset of patients who are not responsive to rituximab and Plasma exchange.4, 28 In this study, treatment with vincristine and cyclophosphamide in patient 5 who further was diagnosed with SLE had no desirable response.

Follow up strategies ensued in current trial include: periodic targeted laboratory work-up (electrolytes, peripheral blood count) and physical examination (special emphasis on cardiovascular, kidney, GI tract, integumentary system and nervous system). This strategy makes the disease and its complications more predictable and controllable.
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